

207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14063-2023 (O&M)

Decided on:-24.03.2023

Charanjit Singh @ Bagga Singh

....Petitioner..

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Vansh Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA J.

By way of present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner prays for grant of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.171 dated 19.09.2022, under Sections 341, 379-B, 452, 324, 323, 506, 120-B, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Kotbhai, District Sri Mukhtsar Sahib (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last two months and 16 days now and the investigation in the present case already stands concluded with the filing of challan on 05.02.2023, thus, trial is likely to take some time. Learned counsel further submits that the main allegations in the FIR have been against Lovepreet Singh @ Labhu, who has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail being juvenile, besides it, co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Harbhagwan Singh @ Popa has also been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 10.02.2023 passed in CRM-M-

CRM-M-14063-2023

6373-2023, thus, prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer made herein while submitting that the petitioner gave sharp edged injury upon the person of injured.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the investigation already stands concluded with the filing of challan and the trial being likely to take some time and the fact that the alleged injury attributed to the petitioner has been found to be simple in nature, besides it, co-accused of the petitioner already having been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 10.02.2023, no useful purpose is going to be served by extending the incarceration of the petitioner any further, who is behind the bars for the last 2 months and 16 days.

Without commenting anything on the merits, lest it may prejudice the trial, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing expressed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

24.03.2023

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/ No