

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-691-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.02.2023**

Bhuley Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

Bhagwat and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ashish Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Swarup, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ankit Swarup, Advocate,
Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Garima Modi, Advocate for respondent No.1.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is alleging violation of the order dated 29.04.2003, passed by this Court in RSA-1462-2003, vide which, *status quo* with regard to possession was directed to be maintained as well as of the subsequent order dated 22.07.2003, vide which, while admitting the appeal, the parties were directed to maintain *status quo* with regard to possession till further orders.

The present contempt petition has been filed against Bhagwat, Dharamvir and Azadvir for violation of the aforesaid orders. In para 5 of the petition, it is stated that on 27.11.2017, a sale deed was executed Dinesh Kumar, Sudesh Kumar, Ashok Kumar, Kanihiya Lal and Motni regarding 100 sq. yards of land to Saroj Bala.

It is stated in the petition that the respondents have stood

witness to the said deed, however, none of the persons, who have either sold or purchased the property, are arrayed as party to this petition.

The only allegation levelled in the contempt petition is that there is a recital in the sale deed that the possession has been handed over, however, in the entire petition, there is no submission that the possession was ever taken by the petitioner.

In view of the same, no case of any willful disobedience is made out against the petitioner.

Dismissed.

Rule stands discharged.

08.02.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No