

**210-3**

**2023:PHHC:141972**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14437 of 2023**

**Date of Decision: 07.11.2023**

Parvinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate appearing for  
Mr. Raj Karan Brar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurlal Singh Dhillon, AAG, Punjab  
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Brar, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)**

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the relief of anticipatory/pre-arrest bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.57 dated 07.09.2022 registered at Police Station Sadar Kurali, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC.

2. It is worth-while to mention here that vide the order dated 29.03.2023, the Co-ordinate Bench had stayed the arrest of the petitioner till the next date of hearing, to explore the possibility of the compromise between the parties. As mentioned in the order passed by this Court on 25.07.2023, the mediation proceedings remained unsuccessful and learned

State counsel had apprised the Court that the dowry articles and 'Istridhan' of the complainant were still to be recovered from the petitioner and then, on the request as made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, he (petitioner) was granted one more opportunity to join the investigation and to co-operate with the Investigating Agency.

3. Today, learned State counsel, on the instructions from ASI Tilak Raj from the afore-referred Police Station, informs the Court that though the petitioner did join in the investigation but he did not co-operate with the Investigating Agency and the 'Istridhan' of the complainant, including the gold jewellery items, has not been got recovered by him.

4. From the above-discussed facts and circumstances, it becomes quite explicit that the petitioner has been afforded reasonable opportunities to join the investigation proceedings and to co-operate in the same but he has failed to co-operate with the Investigating Agency. It being so, this Court is of the considered opinion that he (petitioner) does not deserve the relief of anticipatory/pre-arrest bail. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed accordingly.

07.11.2023  
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* Yes  
*Whether Reportable:* No