

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15864-2021

Date of Decision:- 13.04.2023

JATINDER SINGH @ BABLU

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Asstt. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner Jatinder Singh @ Bablu has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.58 dated 11.03.2021 under Sections 498-A/494 IPC, registered at Police Station City Faridkot (Annexure P-1).

2. The facts of the case are that Ranjit Kaur @ Seema filed written complaint against her husband Jitender Singh @ Bablu and others levelling the allegations of fraud at the time of marriage, harassment for bringing less dowry as well as acts of cruelty committed towards her. Her marriage was performed with Jitender Singh on 26.10.2016. Soon after marriage she was harassed for bringing less dowry. She was even beaten up and insulted. She has narrated the incident of cruelty committed in the matrimonial home. She gave birth to a female child on 10.12.2018. No one

came to see her daughter nor anybody came to take them back in the

matrimonial home. She was tortured on account of their demand. On the basis of this complaint the present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that he has already joined the investigation. Even challan has been presented and the prosecution evidence is under progress. This was third marriage of the petitioner and it was performed in a simple manner. All the allegations levelled against him are false. He is also facing trial. It is prayed that the anticipatory bail already granted in his favour may be confirmed.

4. Learned counsel representing the State as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 confirmed that challan in this case has been presented and the prosecution evidence is being recorded. There are specific serious allegations against the petitioner. Therefore, his anticipatory bail may be declined.

5. I have considered the aforesaid facts. The petitioner was granted ad interim bail as per order dated 27.09.2022. He is already facing trial and the prosecution evidence is under progress. The investigation is already completed. He is ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Considering these facts the ad interim bail granted to the petitioner Jatinder Singh @ Bablu vide order dated 27.09.2022 stands confirmed subject to same terms and conditions as detailed in the aforesaid order.

The petition is, accordingly, accepted.

13.04.2023
snd

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No