

CRWP-3159-2022

Date of Decision:09.03.2023

Jaspreet Kaur Deol and Anr.

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.ShekhawatPresent : Mr. Lakhvir Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the protection of life and liberty as they apprehend threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and they had developed liking for each other and they were having love affair for the last 6/7 years. When the family of petitioner No.1 came to know about the said affair, the petitioner No.1 was beaten up and was forced to perform marriage with respondent No.7, who is the maternal cousin of petitioner No.1. After two days, the petitioner No.1 left the matrimonial home and started residing with petitioner No.2 in a live-in-relationship. At this stage, respondents No. 4 to 7 started threatening the petitioners and the petitioners were constrained to move a representation to respondent No.2, but no action was taken on the said representation.

Upon notice, a reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Dhuri has been filed by official respondents. As per the said reply, one FIR No.77 dated 31.03.2022 under Sections 365, 34 of IPC was registered against petitioner No.2 and two unknown persons at Police Station, Sadar, Dhuri. However today, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Gulab Singh submits that a cancellation report has been presented in the said FIR.

Learned State counsel further submits that the statements of private respondents and Ranjit Singh, father of petitioner No.1 have also been recorded. As per their statements, they have no objection to the relationship of both the petitioners and would not extend any threat to them. Learned counsel further submits that as per the report prepared by the police, there is apparently no danger to both the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, this Court does not deem it appropriate to pass further orders and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

09.03.2023
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No