

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12124-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24th July, 2023

Sushma and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. C.P. Tiwana, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions submits that matter was compromised between the parties, the divorce decree has been passed and the FIR is result of matrimonial dispute. He restricts his prayer that trial Court be directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.
2. The petition is disposed of.
3. There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners making a request before the court concerned for expeditious trial, considering the facts stated above the request would be considered in accordance with law.
4. Since the main case has been disposed of, pending application if any is rendered infructuous.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

24th July, 2023
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |