

273

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5576-2023

Date of decision: 10.05.2023

AMIT

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Navnit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. R. S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to issue appointment to the petitioner on a suitable post under ex-gratia scheme as per the Haryana Civil Services (Compassionate Financial Assistance or Appointment) Rules, 2019 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would urge that the father of the petitioner namely Satbir Singh died while in service on 20.07.2021. The mother of the petitioner applied for compassionate appointment for the petitioner under the Haryana Civil Services

(Compassionate Financial Assistance or Appointment) Rules, 2019, as she is illiterate. However, the benefit was denied to him. Aggrieved against not having been given the benefit, the instant writ petition has been filed.

3. Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued on 20.03.2023, appearance has been caused on behalf of respondent No.2.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 would place on record a copy of the communication dated 08.05.2023, as addressed by the Managing Director, HARTRON to the petitioner herein. As per the said communication, appointment has been offered to the petitioner on the post of Junior Technician (Mechanical) on compassionate grounds. The terms and conditions have been specified therein. A copy of the said communication is taken on record and a copy thereof has also been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the aforesaid communication dated 08.05.2023, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the instant writ petition.

6. Consequently, the instant writ petition has rendered infructuous as the appointment has been offered to the petitioner herein.

7. Disposed of an infructuous.

10.05.2023
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No