

257

2023:PHHC:129201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5729-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.10.2023

Tarsem Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Superintendent Canal Officer and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Aneja, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab.
Mr.S.S. Kamboj, Legal Aid Counsel for respondent No.2.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing the order dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.1 and order dated 08.08.2022 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.2, whereby, respondents No.1 and 2 have illegally and arbitrarily ordered for the restoration of the water course in outlet No.80862/R, Rajbha Fazilka without following the procedure laid down under Section 30(FF) of the Northern India Canal and Drainage Act, 1873.

Mr. Vinod Kumar Suthar, Executive Engineer-respondent No.2 is present in Court in person.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the parties that after passing of the order 21.09.2023, a meeting was held in the office of respondent No.2 and grievances of both the parties have been redressed by agreeing to restore the watercourse immediately after harvesting of the paddy crop.

The Court appreciates the sincere efforts made by Sh. Vinod Kumar Suthar, who has succeeded in resolving the dispute between the parties. The present petition is disposed of in view of the submissions made by counsel for the parties and the parties would be bound by the same. The watercourse would be restored immediately after the harvesting of the paddy crop as has been agreed upon by the parties.

05.10.2023

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE