

129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13938-2023
Date of Decision: 20.03.2023

Vandana Khullar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Union Territory Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.M. Punchhi, Advocate for the respondent- UT,
Chandigarh.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL,J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is being harassed by the respondent and some illegal gratification is also being demanded. He further submits that the notice (Annexure P-4) does not specify as to under which provision it has been issued.

He further submits that a representation (Annexure P-3) has already been moved by the petitioner in this regard and the petitioner would be satisfied, if at this stage, the respondents are directed to look into the representation of the petitioner in view of the specific allegations levelled therein.

Learned counsel representing the respondents states that the notice, in fact, is a notice under Section 41-A of Cr.P.C. and he says so on the basis of instructions given to him by SI Vivek Kumar, who is present in the Court.

CRM-M-13938-2023

-2-

Keeping in view the rival contentions, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 19.02.2023 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner and proceed in the matter only thereafter.

It is clarified that the respondents would be at liberty to proceed in accordance with law and the directions by this Court would not, in any manner, hinder the process of investigation.

20.03.2023
kanika

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No