

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(207)

**IOIN-CWP-11382-2002 in/and
CWP-11382-2002
Date of decision: - 16.03.2023**

Sanjeev Kumar and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Satnam Chauhan, Advocate
for Mr. T.S. Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 28.06.2000 (Annexure P-6) whereby the recognition granted to the Diploma in Fine Arts to the Pracheen Kala Kendra Chandigarh has been cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that vide order dated 25.07.2002, a Division Bench of this Court had ordered that the file of present writ petition be put alongwith CWP-1740-2001 and

CWP-552-2002. Learned counsel for the petitioners has referred to an order dated 01.10.2014 passed in CWP-552-2002 and the relevant portion of the said case is reproduced herein below: -

“Challenge in the present petition is to the communication dated 28.6.2000 (Annexure P-8) issued by the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, vide which the recognition granted to Pracheen Kala Kendra, Sector 35, Chandigarh for grant of Diploma/Degree in Fine Arts, was withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the parties do not dispute that similar issue has been considered by this court in CWP No. 8627 of 2000—Bhalla Ram Memorial College v. State of Haryana and others, whereby bunch of writ petitions have been dismissed vide judgment dated 6.11.2001.

For the detailed reasons recorded in the aforesaid judgment, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

1.10.2014”

Learned counsel for the petitioners has fairly stated that the issue raised in the present writ petition is similar to a issue which was raised in CWP-552-2002 and CWP-8627-2000, which had already been dismissed. It is stated that the main case has been admitted and the same be taken up on Board.

Learned State counsel has submitted that since similar writ petitions have already been dismissed and the present writ petition is also to be decided in the same terms and thus, they have no objection in case the main case is taken up for hearing today itself.

Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the main writ petition is taken on Board and since, it has not been disputed

that the issue raised in the present writ petition is the same as was raised in CWP-552-2002 (which has already been dismissed vide order dated 01.10.2014) and in *CWP No. 8627 of 2000* titled as “**Bhalle Ram Memorial College Vs. State of Haryana and others**”, which has also been dismissed vide judgment dated 06.11.2001, thus, for the detailed reasons recorded in the said judgment dated 06.11.2001 and also in view of the order dated 01.10.2014 passed in CWP-552-2002, the present writ petition is also dismissed.

March 16, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No