

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

Civil Writ Petition No.5860 of 2023
Date of Decision: March 21st, 2023

M/s Kanihya Lal Madho Ram and others
...Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others
...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Shantanu Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Mehta, Senior Standing Counsel
with Mr. Sumeet Jain, Additional Standing Counsel
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Having considered the submissions made by the learned senior counsel for the petitioners and on going through the pleadings, we are of the considered view that the prayers, as have been made in the writ petition, at this stage are premature as there are mere apprehensions which have been expressed by the petitioners, especially in the light of the fact that the learned Senior Standing Counsel for the respondents has submitted that as of now there are no orders passed by the competent authority with regard to the aspects, as have been pointed out in the writ petition including the auction notification.

In the light of the above, we dispose of the writ petition as premature leaving it open to the petitioners to approach the Court in case they feel aggrieved by any action taken by the respondents as above.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

March 21st, 2023
Puneet

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No