

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-14412 of 2022

Date of Decision: January 24, 2023

Hakamdeen

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashing of impugned order dated 16.12.2021 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram and order dated 02.11.2021 passed by the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sohna vide which his application for release of vehicle on Superdari has been rejected.

The submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the law down by this Court in CRM-M-19322-2020 decided on 15.10.2020 *Irfan vs. State of Haryana* has been misinterpreted.

The argument can not be accepted as the revision petition has primarily been dismissed as not maintainable. The petitioner had earlier challenged the order of the trial Court by way of a revision petition which was withdrawn without liberty to file a fresh one. Accordingly, it was held that the second revision petition was not maintainable.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show how the second revision petition is maintainable. Thus, no interference is called for. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

January 24, 2023

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**