

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRWP-2592-2023
Decided on : 17.03.2023**

Salma Bibi and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

By way of filing this petition, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that they have not solemnized their marriage so far and are living in a live-in relationship, as petitioner No.2 is not of marriageable age, and are under eminent threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8. Learned counsel submits that none of the petitioners are earlier married.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are in a live-in relationship against the wishes of respondent No. 4 to 8, and have sought protection to their lives and liberty. It is submitted that petitioner No. 1-Salma Bibi, is aged 18 years and 3 months and petitioner No. 2 – Gurbhej Singh, is aged 19 years and 4 months years and they apprehend danger at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8, who will eliminate them if they intend to solemnize the marriage at relevant time.

In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 12.03.2023 (Annexure P-3) which was sent vide courier dated 13.03.2023 to Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, (respondent No.2), wherein, all

the apprehensions qua their lives and liberty has been expressed.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On advance notice, Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Since petitioners have not contracted any marriage and seek only protection qua their lives and personal liberty, and for the same already representation dated 12.03.2023 (Annexure P-3) is pending, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to have a fair look to the said representation, on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by them.

Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. If the innocence of the petitioners are established, then respondent No.2 shall proceed to take appropriate action in order to protect lives and personal liberty of the petitioners from being invaded by private respondents No.4 to 8.

The aforesaid order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the status of the parties on the basis of live-in relationship and age of petitioners. Respondent No.2 would consider and pass necessary order without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 17, 2023

k.nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No