

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CWP-7015-2019

Date of decision: 16.11.2023

MANJIT KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, Asst. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for seeking directions to the respondents to pay compensation to the petitioner to the tune of Rs. 50 lacs on account of death of her husband late Raj Kumar while in custody.

Reply has been filed by the respondents as per which the deceased husband of the petitioner was suffering from chronic illness and was being extended treatment by the Jail Medical Officer in New District Jail, Nabha. A detailed tabulation of the visiting dates and the relatives of the deceased who had met him and also the parole and furlough availed by the deceased convict had been mentioned to suggest that there was no occasion for the death having occurred as a result of an incident that took place while in custody and was purely on account of natural causes.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, however, contends that the petitioner is no more in contact with him and that he may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition at this stage and to take recourse to an appropriate remedy, if so advised, as and when the petitioner re-connects with the counsel.

Disposed of as withdrawn at this stage.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to take recourse to the alternative remedies that may be available to the petitioner as disputed questions of fact arise in the present writ petition. The period during which the present writ petition has remained pending shall be taken into consideration while computing limitation in the event any such claim being filed by the petitioner.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 16, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No