

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-2687-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.04.2023

SUNITA AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India giving directions to respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to create hindrance in the peaceful possession/enjoyment of the property purchased by the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners limits his prayer regarding protection of their life and liberty.
3. Notice of motion.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the apprehension of threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it found to be genuine, necessary action be taken in accordance with law.
6. It is however, made clear that this order shall not preclude the

authorities to proceed against the petitioners, in case, they are found involved in any other civil or criminal proceedings, instituted/ pending against them or pass any order if there is some evidence that surfaces regarding their involvement in a cognizable offence, for which they may be required by the investigating agency.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

April 20, 2023
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No