

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 105)

CRM-M No.13850 of 2023

Date of decision : 20.03.2023

Sukhjiwan Preet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. B. S. Rana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Maninder Jit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The first petition by the petitioner before this Court seeking therein anticipatory bail was CRM-M No.7966 of 2023 – Sukhjiwan Preet Singh through SPA Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab. However, this petition of his was dismissed as withdrawn on 15.02.2023 through the following order:-

“The arguments raised on behalf of the petitioner having not received a favourable response from the Bench and not to invite a decision on merits lest it may prejudice the case of the petitioner, at a later stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.”

Through the instant petition, the petitioner has again approached this Court for the relief which he could not secure on the earlier

occasion.

Admittedly, there is no change in circumstance after the passing of the afore referred order of this Court.

Through its order dated 16.12.2021 passed in ***Special Leave Petition No.9449 of 2021 – Md. Shamim Khan Vs. The State of Jharkhand***, the Supreme Court has deprecated the practice of filing of consequent petitions under Section 438 Cr.P.C. after the rejection of earlier ones without there being any change in circumstance.

Dismissed.

20.03.2023

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No