

CWP-7456-2001 (O&M)
and other connected cases 1

**310-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1.CWP-7456-2001 (O&M)

Partap Singh and others
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..Respondents

2.CWP-10853-2004 (O&M)

Surjeet Singh and another
.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..Respondents

3.CWP-15660-2003 (O&M)

Mohinder Singh and others
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..Respondents

4.CWP-11847-2001 (O&M)

Diya Krishan and others
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..Respondents

5.CWP-8575-2001 (O&M)

Sh.Chet Ram and others
....Petitioners

Versus

CWP-7456-2001 (O&M)
and other connected cases 2

State of Punjab and others ..Respondents

6.CWP-10133-2001 (O&M)

Karnail Singh and others
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..Respondents

7.CWP-2527-2005 (O&M)

Balbir Singh and others
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..Respondents

Date of decision: 19.01.2023

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Suresh Pal, Advocate
Mr. K.L.Dhingra, Advocate
Mr. Ishwar Lal, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. R.K. Kapoor, Addl. AG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

By this order, seven Civil Writ Petitions i.e CWP-7456-2001, 10853-2004, 15660-2003, 11847-2001, 8575-2001, 10133-2001 and 2527-2005 shall stand disposed of.

The petitioners are stated to be serving on daily wages as Mali/Baledar. They claim that a writ of Mandamus be issued to direct

the respondents to regularize their services as they were working for pretty long time.

While filing the reply, the respondents have stated as under:-

“3. That the petitioners are working on daily wages basis under a project known as Punjab Afforestation Project founded by Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC). The said project was started in the year 1997-98 with the collaboration of the JBIC under which a particular plantation target is to be achieved and to achieve those targets daily wagers are engaged. The major part of the project is over and the project likely to be completed in the year 2004 and after the completion of the project, the daily wagers have to be disengaged since they are not working against any regular post.”

This Court has heard the learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paperbook. Learned counsel representing the petitioners contends that in view of the order passed in the ‘**Dalip Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others**’ LPA 283-2011 decided on 01.09.2011, the respondents are required to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization.

This Court has carefully read the order passed in **Dalip Singh’s case (supra)**. There is no reference to the fact that the appellants in LPA-283-2011 were employed under the Punjab Afforestation Project founded by the Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC).

It is evident that the petitioners were employed under a specified project. It is the positive stand of the respondents that they were neither employed nor working against any regular post.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to issue the writ, to regularize the services of the petitioners, is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

19.01.2023
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE