

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CRM-M-20883-2017
Date of Decision : 20.12.2023

SHAM SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr.Vishal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Dinesh Sharma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, challenge is thrown to the legality of the order passed by learned trial Court concerned, whereby the application for discharge was dismissed vide order dated 03.12.2014, and further the revision petition which was preferred by the present petitioner, remained unsuccessful and, revision has met with the same fate of dismissal vide order dated 23.05.2017.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charges are yet to be framed. Now he may be given liberty to take appropriate recourse in case any grievance has caused to the order of framing of charge.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 20, 2023
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No