

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

CWP-5424-2023

Date of Decision: 18.08.2023

Rajpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the Union of India-respondent Nos.1 to 3

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is seeking directions to respondent No.3 to issue passport of the petitioner.

2. On 05.07.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Mr. Ankur Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents-Union of India, at the outset, submits that competent authority would look into grievance of the petitioner and do the needful within three weeks from today.

Adjourned to 18.08.2023.

It is made clear that respondent-authority before passing any order would keep in mind that police has found the petitioner innocent in the FIR registered

against him and no Court as on date has taken cognizance against the petitioner.”

3. Mr. Ankur Sharma, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3, at the outset, submits that application of the petitioner was closed on 18.10.2021 and petitioner is advised to file a fresh application which would be processed within 6 weeks from date of filing and appropriate order shall be passed.
4. Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid arrangement.
5. In view of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.08.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>