

**CRM-M-14386-2022**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14386-2022**

**Date of decision: 15.02.2023**

Poonam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Through this second petition (the first one having been dismissed as withdrawn on 30.11.2021), the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.776 dated 04.12.2019, registered at Police Station Camp Palwal, District Palwal, under Sections 302 and 34 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier, the petitioner had love affair with Yogender @ Sonu (since deceased); that however after some time, Yogender @ Sonu had stopped to meet her; that co-accused Yogesh @ Yogi was having friendly relations with the petitioner, which had turned into love affair and even the talks of solemnization of their marriage were going on.

Learned counsel further contends that as per the allegations, on 03.12.2019, Yogender @ Sonu came to her room, and after some time, co-accused, Yogesh @ Yogi also reached there; that the petitioner had no

**CRM-M-14386-2022**

malafide intention or ill-will against Yogender @ Sonu and that is why she did not open the door, but co-accused had entered the house by climbing the wall, and committed the murder of Yogender @ Sonu. He further contends that the petitioner has no role to play in the alleged offences; that the petitioner has been in custody since 05.12.2019; that out of 16 prosecution witnesses, as many as 08 witnesses, including complainant-Rinku (brother of the deceased), have already been examined. He further contends that recovery has already been effected from the petitioner and that there is no other case registered or pending against the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the petitioner alongwith the co-accused had committed the murder of Yogender @ Sonu; that there were as many as 13 stab wounds on the person of the deceased, and that the petitioner alongwith the co-accused had carried the dead body of Yogender @ Sonu on a scooter and thrown the same at an isolated place. She further submits that even the accused had also washed the room where the murder had been committed, and that recovery of scooty had been effected from the petitioner whereas recovery of knife had been effected from co-accused, Yogesh @ Yogi. She further contends that some material witnesses are yet to be examined.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 05.12.2019. Recovery has already been effected. As many as 08 prosecution witnesses, including the complainant, have already been examined. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served

**CRM-M-14386-2022**

by keeping the petitioner behind the bars. Moreover, there is no other case registered or pending against the petitioner.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**15.02.2023**  
parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |