

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CRM-M-10969-2020
Date of decision:- 05.07.2023

Harpreet Singh
State of Punjab and another

Versus

...Petitioner
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. There has been a continued and persistent non-appearance on behalf of the petitioner. When the matter was taken up today in the pre-lunch session, request was made for pass-over, however, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner in the second round.
2. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.163 dated 23.11.2019, registered for offences under Sections 304-A and 279 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Division-C, District Amritsar, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 18.12.2019, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.
3. Paper-book has been perused.
4. A Division Bench of this Court in Baldev Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2016 (3) Law Herald 2020 has held that compromise between the complainant and the legal representatives of the deceased is not permissible and mitigates against all canons of justice.
5. Petition is not maintainable and is hereby dismissed.

05.07.2023
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No