

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-18408-2023
Date of decision: 20.12.2023

MADHUKAR TULSI

....Petitioner

Versus

SUMAN

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Jigyasa Tanwar , Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate for the respondent.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

A number of disputed questions of facts have been raised through the instant petition, which cannot be adjudicated, under the proceedings of Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, while drawing attention of this Court to the summoning order dated 12.09.2017, passed by the learned trial Court concerned, the petitioner has not been summoned with the aid of Section 141 of the NI Act, contends that the summoning order is bad in law.

Be that as it may, in case the petitioner has any grievance, with regard to the summoning order, the petitioner has statutory alternate remedy, which was not availed by the present petitioner, whereas, simply the petitioner has approached this Court, therefore, no interference is required.

Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed, however, with the liberty to raise, all such pleas before the appropriate Court, at the appropriate stage.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

20.12.2023
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No