

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-794-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.07.2023

Geetika Chugh
.....Petitioner

Versus

Virat, HCS, Secretary, HSSC
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.K. Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J.

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings, for violatting the order dated 11.07.2022 passed in CWP No.31680 of 2022, vide which the following directions were issued:-

“Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that appropriate order after considering the claim of the petitioners in terms of the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 09.05.2022, will be passed within a period of one month from today and in case, the petitioners are found meritorious or entitled for any relief, the same will also be extended to them within a further period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.”

Counsel for the State has placed on record the speaking order dated 20.06.2023, which is taken on record as ‘Mark A’, vide

which the claim of the petitioner has been rejected, by passing the following order:-

“Whereas, the main contention of the petitioner in the present writ petition is to appoint the petitioner against the post of PGT Chemistry on the basis of her merit in the respective category subject to decision of SLP’s bearing NO.SLP(C) 25184-25214 of 2018.

In this regard it is stated here that the Respondent Commission has finalized the result in compliance of order dated 01.09.2021 passed by Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in SLP No.25184-25214 of 2018 titled as Haryana Staff Selection Commission vs Priyanka and other as well as order dated 09.05.2022 passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in M.A. Nos.539-569 of 2022 in Civil Appeal Nos.5065-5095 of 2021 titled as Haryana Staff Selection Commission vs Priyanka & others on 26.08.2022. Petitioner was not selected in the result dated 26.08.2022 under General Category has secured 136 marks and the petitioner has secured 132 marks therefore, she was not selected in the result dated 26.08.2022.

In view of the position explained above, it is clear that the petitioner is not selected for the post of PGT Chemistry due to lesser marks and hence the claim of the petitioner is denied and rejected being devoid of merits.

I pass the order accordingly.”

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the order has not been passed correctly.

However, this Court is not to look into the legality or illegality of the order as the only direction was issued to the respondents to examine and consider the claim of the petitioner for which the

2023:PHHC:095995

respondents have already passed an order, after noticing the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court as referred to in the Writ Court order.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

27.07.2023
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No