

**204 (2) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20511-2018
Date of Decision:15.05.2023**

Dharam Nath Tiwari and another Petitioners

Versus

Pooja Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Himanshu Joshi, Advocate for the petitioners.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. are seeking quashing of complaint No. 40/2015 dated 07.03.2015 which has been filed by respondent under Section 12 of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and is pending before the Magistrate at Panchkula.

2. This Court in *Jaspal Kaur alias Pinki and others vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M- 19553 of 2023 decided on 24.04.2023*, relying upon judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Kamatchi vs. Lakshmi Narayan 2022 SCC online SC 446* and *Kunappareddy vs. Kunappareddy Swarna Kumari (2016) 11 SCC 774* and Full Bench of Madras High Court in *Arul Daniel and others vs. Suganya 2022 SCC online Mad 5435* has held:

i) Proceedings under Section 12 of DV Act are civil in nature.

- ii) An aggrieved person has right to move an application before Magistrate seeking recalling of notice issued under Section 13 of DV Act or deletion of his/her name from the array of respondents;
- iii) Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is not maintainable against petition under Section 12 or notice under Section 13 of DV Act;
- iv) An order passed by Magistrate is an appealable order under Section 29 of DV Act;
- v) No revision under Cr.P.C. is maintainable against order passed by Sessions Court as Appellate Court.

3. In view of order dated 24.4.2023 passed by this Court in CRM-M-19553 of 2023, present petition is not maintainable and accordingly disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to avail remedy as permissible by law.

4. Disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.05.2023
harish kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No