

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104+222

CRM-M-13728-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.01.2023

Vishal Alias Nanu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Naveen Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2 in main
petition and for applicant in the applications

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

CRM-20207-2022

For the reasons assigned in the application, the application is
allowed and complainant, namely Rajwinder Kaur, is impleaded as
respondent No.2 in the main petition.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record and Registry is
directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

CRM-M-13728-2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that
prosecutrix stands examined as well cross-examined. There is
inadvertent mistake in the statement of prosecutrix recorded under
Section 164 Cr.P.C., which he has placed on record. He seeks permission
to withdraw the petition with liberty to file afresh with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

CRM-1798-2023

The application has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.01.2023

Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>