

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

251

CRM-M-19403-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.07.2023

RANBIR

....Petitioner

Versus

BHIM SINGH & ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN. J.(Oral)

Learned counsels are *ad idem* that the controversy between the parties, out of which the present proceedings have borne out stands finally adjudicated in RSA Nos.636, 658 and 657 of 1992 in favour of the respondent.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition and accordingly the same is dismissed as infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

July 31, 2023
Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No