

2023:PHHC:120438

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

255

CRM-M-13151-2022

Date of Decision:13th September, 2023

Raj Rani and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. was filed seeking directions to official respondents for registering an FIR and taking legal action against private respondents (arrayed in the petition as respondents No. 4 to 16).

2. While issuing notice of motion on 29.3.2022 respondent No.2 was directed to look into the matter and do the needful in case there is threat perception. It was further directed that report be submitted in this regard.

3. Order dated 11.10.2022 passed by Superintendent of Police, Fazilka was placed on record. As per this order, there is land dispute between the parties which is *subjudice* in the Civil Court. Further, no threat apprehension was found and representation was accordingly disposed of.

4. In view of the speaking order passed, no further directions are called for. Petition is disposed of.

2023:PHHC:120438

5. Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of the surviving grievance, if any.
6. Since the main case has been disposed of the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)

JUDGE

13th September, 2023

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No