

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

116

CRM-M-10690-2020
Date of Decision: 31.05.2023

Gurmail Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.(Oral)

Case has been called twice, however, nobody has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. It would be pertinent to reproduce the previous orders from 13.03.2023.

“ Order dated 13.03.2020 passed by this Court reads as under:

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks adjournment to address arguments.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 07.05.2020.

Order dated 04.07.2022 passed by this Court reads as under:

On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, adjourned to 16.08.2022.

Order dated 16.08.2022 passed by this Court reads as under:

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner prays for an adjournment on the ground that arguing counsel is in some personal difficulty.

On his request, adjourned to 10.01.2023.

Order dated 10.01.2023 passed by this Court reads as under:

Case has been called twice, however, nobody has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

A perusal of all the orders right from the beginning reveals that learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has been seeking repeated adjournments.

Last opportunity.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 21.03.2023.

Registry is directed to intimate the counsel for the petitioner about the date fixed.”

Order dated 21.03.2023 passed by this Court reads as under:

Case has been called twice, however, yet again there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

A perusal of the previous order reveals that on that day as well, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner as a result of which, Registry had been directed to intimate the counsel for the petitioner about the date fixed.

Purely, in the interest of justice, adjourned to 26.05.2023.

It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted.

Perusal of the previous order dated 21.03.2023, which

has been reproduced herein above, clearly reveals that the case was adjourned purely in the interest of justice and it had been made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted. The Registry in compliance of order dated 21.03.2023 too had intimated the learned counsel for the petitioner about the date fixed. Despite that, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner today.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]
JUDGE

May 31, 2023
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no