

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 301 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.03.2023

Parveen Kumar and anotherAppellants

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr. J.S.Maanipur, Advocate with
Ms. Harprit Kaur, Advocate, for the appellants.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

CM No. 862-LPA- 2023

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 162 days in filing the appeal is condoned. Application stands disposed of.

Main Appeal

After arguing at length, learned counsel for the appellants fairly concedes that while passing the impugned judgment dated 30.08.2022, the learned Single had extensively quoted and relied upon the judgment dated 23.11.2022 passed in another matter (CWP No. 26894 of 2022), and the Letters Patent Appeal No. 117 of 2023 filed against the said judgment has since been dismissed on 08.02.2023. Therefore, he submits that the issue raised in the present appeal stands squarely covered by the decision of this Court rendered in Letters Patent Appeal No. 117 of 2023 (*Virender and others vs. State of Haryana and others*).

In view of the aforesaid, the present appeal also stands dismissed in terms of the orders dated 08.02.2023 passed by this Court in Letters Patent Appeal No. 117 of 2023.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

20.03.2023

ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	√Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No/