

117.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5104-2023

Date of Decision: 15.03.2023

VIJAY KUMAR

.... Petitioner

Versus

HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM LTD AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. M.S. Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count his daily wage/work-charge service as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

At the very outset, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case his legal notice dated 14.01.2022, Annexure P-2, ventilating his grievance, whereupon no decision has been taken as yet, is decided in a time bound manner, in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Dushyant Saharan, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Let adequate number of copies of complete paper book be supplied to the counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide legal notice dated 14.01.2022, Annexure P-2, of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

15.03.2023

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No