

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CWP-6419-2023

Date of decision:- 27.03.2023

Sachin Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Aftab Singh Khara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus *inter alia* directing the official respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and appoint the petitioners as Junior Coach in terms of Haryana Outstanding Sports Persons (Group-A, B & C) Service Rules, 2021 (for short “the 2021 Rules”).

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners won the 3rd Asian Kabaddi Championship (Circle) 2016 organized by Asian Kabaddi Federation in Pakistan. Counsel submits that vide applications dated 10.03.2021 (Annexure P-6), petitioners applied for appointment under the 2021 Rules. He submits that despite the fact that certificates submitted by the petitioners were duly verified by the Asian Kabaddi Federation, vide Annexure P-7, applications submitted by the petitioners are not being

processed. He submits that petitioners have submitted a 'legal representation' dated 21.01.2023 (Annexure P-11), but to no avail and they would be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to look into it.

Notice of motion confined to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

On asking of the Court, Mr.Pankaj Middha, Addl.A.G.Haryana, accepts notice on their behalf.

Given the nature of order being passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call for a response from the official respondents.

Having heard counsel for the parties, but without commenting upon the claim of the petitioners as raised in the writ petition or in the representation, petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to examine the 'legal representation' dated 21.01.2023 (Annexure P-11) within a period of four months from the date of communication of copy of this order. In case, respondent No.3 finds that the claim of the petitioners deserves to be accepted, consequential orders be passed and in case, respondent No.3 comes to the conclusion that claim of the petitioners cannot be sustained, an order be passed assigning reasons for rejection.

27.03.2023
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No