

**230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19958-2016

Date of Decision: February 13, 2023

Surjit Kaur and another

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Naveen, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Jain, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that petition has since become infructuous inasmuch as the petitioner Surjit Kuar has since been acquitted by the Trial Court, whereas proceedings against petitioner Gurnam Singh were already abated. He has also placed on record copy of the judgment dated 20.12.2022 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patiala, revealing that in case arising out of FIR No.29, dated 17.04.2015, registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Lahori Gate, Patiala, accused Surjit Kaur was acquitted on the basis of compounding of the offence, whereas proceedings against Gurnam Singh and Satinder Kaur were abated.

In view of the above, present petition is hereby disposed of having become infructuous.

February 13, 2023

sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No