

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.259

CRM-M-12388-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 28.03.2023

Amarjit Singh @ Nikka

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Dhillon, AAG, Punjab

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Status report dated 27.03.2023 has been filed in Court on behalf of the State of Punjab. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel on the basis thereof submits that the petitioner was very much present at the place of the incident as is evident from the CCTV footage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the petitioner has wrongly been included. He deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

The submission cannot be accepted. The status report clearly states that on the basis of CCTV footage, the petitioner was present at the locations mentioned in the FIR. Nothing more is required for a decision of this case.

CRM-M-12388-2023 (O&M)

2

The complicity of the petitioner stands established *prima facie* and thus, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

The petition is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

28.03.2023
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No