

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-12443-2023

Date of Decision.:23.03.2023

Karnail Singh
Vs.
State of Punjab

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on
behalf of respondent-State.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of this petition
under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.232 dated 27.05.2021 registered
under Sections 302, 307, 148, 149 of IPC (Section 379 & 411 of IPC and
Section 25, 27, 30-54/59 of the Arms Act added later on) registered at Police
Station City Patran, District Patiala.

Attribution to the petitioner is that he and co-accused Balwinder
Kaur raised lalkara to shoot at the complainant party and then the co-accused
Khawinder Singh who is the son of the petitioner fired two shots from
his .12 bore rifle killing Balvir Singh and Charanjit Singh. Thus the only role
attributed to the petitioner is raising the lalkara.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that co-accused
Balwinder Kaur has already been allowed bail by this Court.

As per custody certificate placed on record by learned State
counsel, petitioner is in custody for last 1 year 9 months and 21 days with no

CRM-M-12443-2023

-2-

criminal antecedents.

Trial may take time to conclude. Balwinder Kaur is the similarly placed co-accused who had already been granted bail by this Court on 02.02.2023 passed in ‘CRM-M-51619-2021’.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

March 23, 2023

Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No