

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.112

CRM-M-12847-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.03.2023

Ashish Tyagi

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashing of order dated 29.11.2022, whereby, the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person in a complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. FIR No.981 dated 06.12.2022 has also been registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat under Section 174-A IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the body of the proclamation dated 03.10.2022, the name of the complainant has been mentioned rather than the accused. Thus, the same was vitiated. Accordingly, the order declaring the petitioner as proclaimed person deserves to be set aside.

The argument cannot be accepted because the name and address of the petitioner is mentioned in the bold letters on the proclamation. Statement of serving Constable proves that the same was affixed on the outer wall of the petitioner's house. The order-sheet of the case also shows that bail of the petitioner was cancelled vide order dated 02.11.2021. This signifies that the petitioner was aware of the proceedings and thus, the

No other error or infirmity has been pointed out in the publication made under Section 82 Cr.P.C. The petition has no merit and is dismissed.

The notice issued under Section 82 Cr.P.C. has been flag mark in pink colour and has been annotated as ‘Z’. The same be brought to the notice of the Hon’ble Administrative Judge of District Panipat.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

15.03.2023
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No