

271

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19597-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.02.2023

Amarjit Singh Sidhu

....Petitioner

Versus

CBI Chandigarh

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate for respondent-CBI.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. On the last date of hearing, the petitioner was not present.

Even today the petitioner is not present.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-CBI submits that the petitioners had taken a plea of *alibi* to prove his innocence and for that purpose he sought playing of CD before the trial Court. Counsel further submits that the said CD was sent for forensic examination where it was found to be edited version. Furthermore, the trial Court had specifically observed that when PW-29 would be examined, the Court would considered playing CD, at that time.

3. Thus, considering the statement of the CBI's counsel and the fact that the petitioner was not present on the last date of hearing, the present petition stands dismissed.

06.02.2023

ps-I

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no