

CM No. 778-LPA-2023 in/and RA No. LP- 5 of 2023 in [1]
LPA No. 26 of 2018 2023:PHHC:126405-DB

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No. 778-LPA-2023 in/and
RA No. LP- 5 of 2023 in
LPA No. 26 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.09.2023**

Ram Kumar and others

...Applicants/appellants

Versus

**HVPNL (Haryana Vidhut Prasaran Nigam Limited) and others
...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present:- Mr. V.K. Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate,
for the applicants/appellants.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.

CM No. 778-LPA-2023

This application is for condonation of delay of 23 days in filing
the review application.

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, which is supported by
an affidavit, the same is allowed and the delay of 23 days in filing the
review application is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RA No. LP- 5 of 2023

1. The present application has been filed by the
applicants/appellants seeking review of the order dated 17.1.2023 passed in

LPA No. 26 of 2018.

2. We have heard learned counsel for the applicants/appellants and find that there is no ground to review the judgment dated 17.1.2023.

3. It is settled law that in review petition, review jurisdiction cannot be exercised to re-appreciate what had already been argued on merits. The interference would be warranted only if there is an error apparent on the face of the record. It has been held by the Apex Court in **High Court of Tripura through the Registrar General Vs. Tirtha Sarathi Mukherjee & Ors**, reported as 2019 (16) SCC 663, that review applications are limited to patent errors apparent on the face of the record. The applicants/appellants have not been able to make out a case indicating an error apparent on the face of record. In fact, what is sought to be argued has already been dealt with in detail, while passing the order under review. Thus, there is no patent error apparent on the face of the record, warranting review.

4. Consequently, this review application stands dismissed.

5. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

22.9.2023

prem

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No