

2023:PHHC:076857

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12344-2023

Date of Decision: 26.05.2023

PALWINDER SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. On 14.03.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.67 dated 02.03.2023 under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Chhappar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the initial occurrence took place on 28.02.2023 at about 5 P.M. when the injuries were inflicted on the person of Narinder Singh, complainant. The petitioner was not present at that point of time. The second occurrence allegedly took place at about 11 P.M. when injuries are stated to have been inflicted upon the person of Gurpreet Singh and Avtar Singh. The injuries on the person of the injured are simple in nature. The petitioner is the nephew of the complainant. There is a dispute with regard to joint land between the parties. Amrik Singh, co-accused, who is the uncle of the petitioner has also instituted a civil suit against the complainant.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 26.05.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Tarsem, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.
4. Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 14.03.2023, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.
5. Petition is allowed.

26.05.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No