

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-5167-2023

Date of decision: 15.03.2023

Khetpal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra 'Sirsa', Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the detailed result dated 07.02.2023 (Annexure P-9), vide which the petitioner has been declared 'Not Eligible' for the post of Store Keeper.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had applied for the aforesaid post under SC Category; that the petitioner passed Bachelor of Commerce (B.Com.) with 56.5% marks, as would decipher from the result-cum-detailed marks card (Annexure P-5), issued on 06.01.2012; that the petitioner had applied for re-valuation qua examination of B.Com. (IInd year) and that on the basis of the same, marks of the petitioner had been increased from 689 to 699 and result-cum-detailed marks card was issued by the Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, on 18.06.2014. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner had worked as Store Keeper in Deeksha Institute of Technology, Bhattu Road, Kirdhan (Fatehabad) w.e.f. 01.01.2012 to 31.07.2013, as would decipher from the certificate dated 10.01.2017 (Annexure P-7).

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the petitioner having secured 56.5% marks in B.Com. (Final Year) examination conducted in October, 2011, was fully eligible for the aforesaid post in 2012, and that candidature of the petitioner was declined on the ground that he had passed B.Com. in 2014, but in fact, the same was the result on the basis of re-valuation qua examination of B.Com. (IInd year), and that the experience certificate pertains to the period from 01.01.2012 to 31.07.2013. Learned counsel further contends that five posts under the aforesaid Category are still lying.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before respondent No.2 by way of filing the representation dated Nil (Annexure P-10), which is still pending consideration and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated Nil (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated Nil (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order

within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

15.03.2023
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No