

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.: 115

Criminal Writ Petition No.M-2306 of 2023

Date of Decision: *March 22, 2023*

P

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

This petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioner, and directing respondent No.4 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner, nor pressurize her to give statements against Kuldeep.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sushila, submits that the FIR in question was investigated and it was found that the petitioner, the alleged victim, is a major, who was not subjected to any wrong act by anyone as per her own statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. on 16.02.2023. She even refused her medical examination. In her second statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. recorded on 15.03.2023, she refused to stay with her own family, and was sent to Kuldeep's family.

3. Regarding the threat perceived from the complainant/ respondent No.4, learned State counsel submits that no representation has been received by the official respondents. In case there is apprehension of threat to the petitioner regarding her life and liberty from anybody, she can

make a representation to that effect to respondent No.2, who shall look into it and take appropriate action expeditiously in accordance with law.

4. In view of the submissions by learned State counsel, no direction need to be issued to the official respondents.

5. Disposed of.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

March 22, 2023
payal

<u>Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:</u>	<u>Yes/ No</u>
<u>Whether Reportable:</u>	<u>Yes/ No</u>