

131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5252-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.03.2023

Raj Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Dr.Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr.Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The petitioners are challenging the additional charge assigned to them by the competent authority. They allege that while having been posted against a sanctioned post, they are being required to perform additional duties at different locations.

Sh. Vikas Arora, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, submits that efforts are being made to ensure that the petitioners shall not be forced to discharge their public duties at two different places, at the same time.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

16.03.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE