

101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18153-2015
Date of decision: 20.11.2023

HARBANS SINGH AND ANR ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB ...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in the case bearing FIR No. 89 dated 10.05.2014, under Sections 376(added later on), 366-A and 363 IPC read with Section 4 of Prevention of Children from Sexual Offences Act, registered at Police Station Fazilka, District Fazilka.

On 29.05.2015, the following order was passed:-

“Order whereby the petitioner has been summoned as additional accused to face trial is not on record. Learned counsel for petitioner prays for some time to place the same on record by moving a miscellaneous application.

To be listed as and when appropriate application is moved.”

2. Thereafter, the matter had not been taken up, as the application whereby the petitioners were summoned as additional accused was never moved.

3. Learned State counsel on the instructions from ASI Baldev submits that in terms of the judgment dated 10.09.2021, both the petitioners

have been acquitted and their co-accused, namely, Surjit Singh has been convicted and sentenced. Consequently, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. As none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners, they shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of three months, in the event, the aforesaid assertion is found to be factually incorrect.

20.11.2023
neeraj

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No