

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

226

CRWP 2269-2023

Date of Decision: 25.05.2023

Neeraj Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and other

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Armaan Gegneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue directions to respondents No. 1 to 4 to provide adequate protection to him as he is facing imminent threat to his life at the hands of various terrorist groups and the gangsters of Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a member of Punjab Shiv Sena (Bal Thakrey Party) and is associated with the party for the last more than 20 years. At present, he was holding the post of Senior Vice President for the State of Punjab and is also a social worker. He has indulged in number of social welfare activities and at present he is also serving as Incharge for the State of Rajasthan. He further contends that the party workers of Shiv Sena (Bal Thakrey Party) have always been a soft target for the radicals in the State of Punjab and earlier two police personnels were deployed with the petitioner, keeping in view the threat perception. He

further contends that the petitioner was receiving threat calls from the gangsters turned terrorists and a complaint Annexure P-4 was made to the SSP, Sri Muktsar Sahib, however, no action was taken on the same.

A status report has been filed by way of an affidavit of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, on behalf of the official respondents. It has been averred that the inquiry has been held in the matter and it was found that the petitioner as well as other family members had not been threatened by any terrorist or any other such group. Apart from that, it was also established that there was no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner. However, necessary instructions have been issued to the SHO, Police Station City Sri Muktsar Sahib and as well as Incharge, Mobile PCR, District Sri Muktsar Sahib to depute PCR officials to supervise the house as well as the office of the petitioner. In case any suspicious movement is noticed by the petitioner, action shall be immediately taken by the police. The learned State counsel has further assured the Court that in case any representation in this regard is received from the petitioner, appropriate action shall be taken on the same, in accordance with law.

In view of the assurance made by the learned State counsel, no further directions are required and the petition is, accordingly, disposed off.

25.05.2023
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No