

245 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : 14.02.2023

CWP-6327-2019 (O&M)

Simmy Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CWP-21617-2019

Simmy Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. RVS Chug, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderpreet S. Kang, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Abhishek Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate for respondent No.2
in CWP No.21617 of 2019 and
for respondents No.2 and 3 in CWP No.6327 of 2019.

Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner is not in position to dispute that the petitioner has an alternate remedy before the Educational Tribunal as held by this Court in **CWP No.11669 of 2015** titled as **Surinder Krishan Sharma vs. State of Punjab** decided on 11th of April, 2019 and upheld in *Intra* Court appeal in LPA No.892 of 2019 vide judgment dated 9th of May, 2019.

Present writ petitions are pending consideration before this Court since 2019. In CWP No.21617 of 2019 this Court vide order dated

19th of August, 2019 had stayed the operation of the impugned order (Annexure P-11) dated 24th of July, 2019.

In view of the aforesaid fact, the petitioner is relegated to her alternate remedy and the petitions are ordered to be transferred before the Educational Tribunal.

Writ petitions stand disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be kept on the file of other connected case.

February 14, 2023
Dpr

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No