

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4888-2023

Date of decision : 13.03.2023

Ram Sarup

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. B.S.Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner is aggrieved against the action of the respondents, whereby his retiral benefits including gratuity, leave encashment, dearness allowance etc. have not been released, therefore, he has invoked extra ordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release his retiral benefits alongwith interest @ 12% per annum.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner was working as Inspector with Municipal Council, Lehragaga, District Sangrur, who retired from service on 31.07.2022 on attaining the age of superannuation, and against his retiral dues of approximately Rs.20,84,923/- only a sum of Rs.8 lacs has been received by him. He submits that the petitioner has already submitted his representation dated 04.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) before the Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Lehragaga, but neither his pending retiral benefits have been released nor his representation has been considered.

Learned counsel states that for the time being the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, respondent No.4 is directed to consider his representation

dated 04.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) in a stipulated time period.

Upon considering the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.4 to consider the representation of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

13.03.2023
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No