

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5509-2023

Date of decision : 23.03.2023

Sunil Mohunta and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ashok Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for purchase of the land in question.

On 17.03.2023, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 25.09.2015 , passed in CWP-12147-2013, filed by the petitioners and other persons, had observed that since the matter was under consideration, the needful be done expeditiously preferably within a period of four months on receipt of certified copy of said order. It is further submitted that in pursuance of the said order, no order has been passed

inspite of lapse of five years.

Learned State counsel prays for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 23.03.2023.”

Learned State Counsel has submitted that the case of the petitioners, in pursuance of the order passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court, has already been rejected vide order dated 31.08.2017 passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the said order was not supplied to the petitioners and states that he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present Civil Writ Petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after challenging the present order as well as the earlier order dated 29.04.2013 (Annexure P-32).

In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

It is made clear that in case, the petitioners challenge the said orders, then the same would be considered independently, in accordance with law.

23.03.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No