

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5633-2023
Date of Decision: 15.05.2023

S. SUKHWINDER PAL SINGH GHUMAN .. Petitioner
Vs.
ADDITIONAL CENTRAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER,
ZONAL ACC OFFICE PUNJAB AND ORS. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Mukesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This writ petition has been filed by petitioner under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of Certiorari for quashing the enquiry report dated 02.06.2021 (Annexure P-1), which was submitted by the EO, Patiala to the Assessing Officer, EPFO, DO, Patiala before completion of enquiry procedure as per procedure laid down in Section 7-A Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (Annexure P-2), and the enquiry proceedings are still going on.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the final order under Section 7-A Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 is yet to be passed and the objections raised by the petitioner through application dated 21.12.2022 (Annexure P-9) are also pending adjudication.

Thus, considering the claim raised in this writ petition being premature, this Court is not inclined to exercise extra ordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 Constitution of India at this stage.

Dismissed.

15.05.2023
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No