

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

250

**CRM-M-10440-2020**

Date of Decision:-**April 12, 2023**

Surinder Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Bali, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh assisted by  
ASI Karan Singh.

Mr. Ravi Kumar Mishra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 10 dated 19.01.2020, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women, District Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure P-2).

Mr. Ravi Kumar Mishra, Advocate has put in appearance and filed his Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent No.2, which is taken on record.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, this Court vide order dated 12.03.2020 directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded in that regard. Pursuant thereto, a report dated 23.03.2021 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Chandigarh, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

**CRM-M-10440-2020**

Learned Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh and learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

Perusal of the aforesaid report establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal prosecution in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another* 2012(10) SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another* 2014(6) SCC 406 that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. FIR No. 10 dated 19.01.2020, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women, District Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners, subject to payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- to be deposited by the petitioners jointly within one month from today in the following account:-

**Account Name – Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association Lawyer’s Family Welfare Fund.**

**Account No. - 41564846387**

**Bank Name – SBI High Court Branch.**

**(ALOK JAIN)  
JUDGE**

**April 12, 2023**  
Parul

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned:- | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:-        | Yes/No |