

245

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6175-2022

Date of decision: 19.10.2023

Pankaj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Arjun Dev, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.3 to consider the name of the petitioner to be included in the select list/waiting list against the post of Sub Inspector (Male) in the Ex-Servicemen/ESM-BCB keeping in view his merit position.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner would submit a representation to respondent No.3 and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the said respondent No.3 is directed to consider the said representation in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant

necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that respondent No.3 would consider the said representation as and when filed in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3 to consider the representation in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of said representation and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then respondent No.3 would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, respondent No.3 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.3 would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

19.10.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No