

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(245)

CWP-7155-2021

Date of decision: - 31.05.2023

Sandeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The Secretary, Board of School Education Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-Board to correct (delete the sir name), the name as 'Sandeep Singh' instead of 'Sandeep Singh Pawar' in Middle Examination Certificate, Matriculation Examination Certificate and Senior Secondary Examination Certificate.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment passed in case titled as “**Jigya Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh) Vs. CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education) and others**”, and other connected matters reported as **2021(7) SCC 535** by a

three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has submitted that the parameters laid down in the said judgment would also apply to the respondent-Board and has prayed that the petitioner be permitted to file a representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the abovesaid judgment and prays that the respondent be directed to consider the said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date the said representation is received by the respondent.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has stated that they have no objection to the said course of action.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The petitioner is granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jigya Yadav (minor)'s case*** (Supra). Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would submit the said representation along with certified copies of the relevant record.
 - ii) The respondent-Board is directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in accordance with law.
5. Needless to mention here that it would be open to the respondent-Board to require the petitioner to submit any document which would be required for finally considering the case of the petitioner.

May 31, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No